

## COUNCIL BUSINESS

Council Letter No. 1 will be found in October number, p. 1271.

### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 2.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., September 22, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

At the meeting of the Council at San Francisco, held August 13, 1915, Professor Julius A. Koch was elected Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy, to succeed Professor C. Lewis Diehl.

Following this, there was given in Council Letter No. 2 a statement by Professor Julius A. Koch, which by reason of Motion 25 having carried, is omitted.

J. W. ENGLAND,

Secretary of the Council.

415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 3.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., September 27, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motion No. 3 (Election of Prof. C. Lewis Diehl as Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy with Salary, etc.).* Moved by W. C. Alpers, seconded by G. M. Beringer, that Prof. C. Lewis Diehl be given the title of Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy, at an annual salary of six hundred dollars, and be made a member of the Council, ex-officio. (Withdrawn.)

*Motion No. 4 (Election of Prof. C. Lewis Diehl as Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy without Salary, etc.).* Moved by H. M. Whelpley, seconded by Charles E. Caspari, that Prof. C. Lewis Diehl be elected Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy without salary, and made an ex-officio member of the Council. (Ruled out of order.)

J. W. ENGLAND,

Secretary of Council.

415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 4.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., September 27, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motion No. 1 (Conference of Committee on National Formulary)* has received a majority of affirmative votes. The appropriation stated therein has been approved by the Committee on Finance.

*Motion No. 2 (Election of Members; Applications Nos. 1 to 5 Inclusive)* has received a majority of affirmative votes.

Under date of September 19, the following communication was received from Fabius C. Godbold:

"Your favor of the 7th inst. is received, and it gives me sincere pleasure to learn that I was elected to the high position of Honorary President of the American Pharmaceutical Association by the Council at the San Francisco meeting. Not having attended an annual meeting for several years, I feared that I would be dropped from the Council and forgotten, but this shows me that I am still with the members of the Association in spirit at least. I hope I may be able to attend the next annual meeting, and show, by my presence, my deep appreciation of the very high honor conferred upon me.

"May the A. Ph. A. 'live long and prosper' is my earnest wish!"

The Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, through its Dean, Dr. Theo. J. Bradley, has presented to the Association a considerable number of duplicate volumes of the Proceedings of the A. Ph. A., including many old and rare volumes. The Association is the recipient, also, of a similar gift from the National College of Pharmacy of the George Washington University, through Dean Kalusowski. General Secretary Day writes: "I have received from Dr. Kalusowski a good-sized packing-case filled with duplicate volumes of the Proceedings for presentation to the Association. Many of these are original packages as sent out by members at that time and subsequently turned over to the college. Many of these are original packages as sent out by Professor Maisch as Permanent Secretary. They were sent to Washington members at that time and subsequently turned over to the college. Many of these volumes are old and rare numbers." The volumes have been sent by General Secretary Day to the Lloyd Library for storage.

It is fitting that a vote of thanks be tendered the donors, and the following motion is offered:

*Motion No. 5 (Donation of A. Ph. A. Proceedings).* Moved by W. B. Day, seconded by J. W. England, that a vote of

thanks be passed to the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and the National College of Pharmacy of the George Washington University for their very generous donation of A. Ph. A. Proceedings of the Association.

Otto Raubenheimer, Chairman of the Committee on Recipe Book, reports the death of John Roemer, of White Plains, N. Y., on August 20, 1915, who was elected a member of the Committee at San Francisco by the Council on August 13, 1915, term to expire in 1918. He suggests as a successor Clarence G. Spalding, of the Spalding Drug Company, 89 Church Street, New Haven, Conn. Mr. Spalding has been a member of the Association since 1910.

*Motion No. 6 (Election of Clarence G. Spalding as a Member of the Committee on Recipe Book, Term Expiring in 1918).* Moved by Dr. F. E. Stewart, seconded by J. W. England, that Clarence G. Spalding be elected a member of the Committee on Recipe Book, term to expire in 1918, to succeed John Roemer, term to expire in 1918.

The following resolutions passed by the National Association of Retail Druggists at its recent annual convention and sent to General Secretary Day are submitted for consideration, or reference to the Committee on National Formulary, as the Council may desire:

*"Resolved,* That we consider that the liquor question should not influence the sound judgment, wisdom and intelligence of the Revision Committee of the United States Pharmacopœia; and be it further

*"Resolved,* That we petition the revisers of the National Formulary to include in the next edition of that standard work monographs on whisky and brandy, containing satisfactory descriptions and tests for the identification of whisky and brandy suitable for medicinal use; and be it

*"Resolved,* That we ask the Board of Trustees of the United States Pharmacopœia to investigate fully the rumors which are afloat relative to the action of the Committee on Revision in deleting these two substances.

*"Resolved,* That the National Association of Retail Druggists recommend to the Committee on Revision of the National Formulary that it include in its next edition at least two of the formulas authorized by the Bureau of Internal Revenue for denaturizing alcohol to render it suitable for rubbing purposes and tax-free.

*Motion No. 7 (Election of Members).* You

are requested to vote on the following applications for membership:

No. 6. Andrew L. Stroup, Monte Vista, Col., rec. by G. D. Timmons and E. H. Wisner.

No. 7. George Wm. Moschel, 120 E. St. Paul St., Spring Valley, Ill., rec. by William B. Day and E. N. Gathercoal.

No. 8. John A. Roddy, M.D., Philadelphia College of Pharmacy, Philadelphia, Pa., rec. by George M. Beringer and J. W. England.

No. 9. William P. Lorsch, Bruce, S. D., rec. by E. C. Bent and D. F. Jones.

No. 10. Arthur Lawrence Theodore Jorgensen, 1026B Shotwell St., San Francisco, Cal., rec. by Val. Schmidt and William B. Day.

No. 11. Willis Henry Alpers, 1033 Third St., San Bernardino, Cal., rec. by Carl F. J. Dyna and William C. Alpers.

J. W. ENGLAND,

Secretary of Council.

415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 5.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., October 4, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

With reference to the resolutions from the N. A. R. D., submitted in Council Letter No. 4 (p. 8), Frank H. Freericks writes, under date of September 30, 1915, as follows:

"I have read with great interest Council Letter No. 4, and particularly that part which refers to the resolutions offered by the National Association of Retail Druggists pertaining to the omission of brandy and whisky from the new Pharmacopœia. It appears to me that this is a question which is particularly within the province of the National Association of Retail Druggists to raise, and that it would be but a part of wisdom and justice for the Council to take definite action, making plain with reference to it that such matter is appreciated and always assured of most earnest consideration. The resolutions as presented by the N. A. R. D. have my most hearty approval.

"Some months ago the public press carried a rather sensational article emanating from a member of the Revision Committee who sought to convey the impression that brandy and whisky had been omitted from the Pharmacopœia in order to serve the cause of prohibition, which said person seems strongly to advocate. If the newspaper articles in question correctly quoted the per-

son to whom I refer, it would seem that pharmaceutical and therapeutic value might cease to be a controlling factor in deciding what may or may not be contained in the Pharmacopœia.

"In order to bring this matter properly before the Council, I move that the four separate resolutions as submitted by the National Association of Retail Druggists be also adopted by Council, substituting the name of the Council of the American Pharmaceutical Association for that of the National Association of Retail Druggists wherever necessary. Of course, this includes the one pertaining to denatured alcohol."

Under date of October 1, 1915, Vice-Chairman Scoville, of the Committee on National Formulary, writes that he received the "N. A. R. D. Resolutions" from the N. A. R. D. Office, date of September 21, 1915, but with no intimation that the resolutions had been sent, also, to the Council or officers of the A. Ph. A. Hence he prepared a bulletin on the subject, which he transmitted to the members of the Committee on National Formulary for their consideration.

The special committee to inquire into the matter of fire insurance for the property of the A. Ph. A. located in the Lloyd Building, F. H. Freericks, Chairman, reports progress and states that a final report will be submitted by the committee as soon as the first insurance rate for the contents of the building can be obtained.

J. W. ENGLAND,  
Secretary of Council,  
415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

#### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 6.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., October 16, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

The vote of the Council on Motion No. 3 (Election of Prof. C. Lewis Diehl as Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy, at an annual salary of six hundred dollars, and ex-officio member of the Council) has not been called, and the motion has been withdrawn by W. C. Alpers, who made it, and G. M. Beringer, who seconded it.

*Motion No. 8 (Tabling Motion No. 4 of Council Letter No. 3).* Moved by Hy. P. Hynson, seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that Motion No. 4 be laid on the table. (Ruled out of order.)

*Motion No. 9 (Election of Prof. C. Lewis Diehl as Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy).* Moved by Hy. P. Hynson,

seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that Prof. C. Lewis Diehl be elected Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy and ex-officio member of the Council. (Ruled out of order.)

*Motion No. 10 (Payment of Balance of Honorarium Voted Prof. C. Lewis Diehl).* Moved by Hy. P. Hynson, seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that the balance of the honorarium voted Professor Diehl, specifically, for work done on the National Formulary, Fourth Edition, be paid at once, under the conditions of the offer submitted above by Hy. P. Hynson. This motion conflicts with the method of payment of honorarium provided for in action of Council at San Francisco on August 13, 1915, when it was decided that the honorarium "be paid in two instalments, one instalment of \$500 at once, and the other during the year 1916." Presumably, the mover and seconder of this motion wish that the previous action of the Council referred to be reconsidered in so far as relates to the payment of the second instalment of \$500; and if there is no objection by the Council, this will be done. (Ruled out of order.)

An alternative motion to *Motion No. 10 (On Payment of Balance of Honorarium Voted Prof. C. Lewis Diehl)* is offered by G. M. Beringer and seconded by Dr. F. E. Stewart, as follows:

*"Motion No. 11 (Payment of Balance of Honorarium Voted Prof. C. Lewis Diehl).* Moved by G. M. Beringer, seconded by Dr. F. E. Stewart, that the action of the Council at San Francisco in deciding 'that an honorarium be voted to Prof. C. Lewis Diehl for his work on the National Formulary, Fourth Edition, to be paid in two instalments, one instalment of \$500 at once, and the other during the year 1916,' be reconsidered in so far as relates to the second instalment of \$500, and that this latter be paid as soon as, in the judgment of the Treasurer and the Committee on Finance, the condition of the Treasury and the interests of the Association will permit."

J. W. ENGLAND,  
Secretary of Council,  
415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

#### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 7.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., October 27, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

*Motions No. 5 (Donation of A. Ph. A. Proceedings), No. 6 (Election of Clarence G. Spalding as a Member of the Committee*

on *Recipe Book, Term Expiring 1918*), and No. 7 (*Election of Members; Applications Nos. 6 to 11 Inclusive*), have each received a majority of affirmative votes.

Points of order have been raised regarding Motions Nos. 4, 8, 9, 10, and 11 of Council Letters Nos. 3 and 6, and the Chairman has ruled on these.

*Motion No. 4.*—Moved by H. M. Whelpley, seconded by C. E. Caspari, that Prof. C. Lewis Diehl be elected Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy without salary and made an ex-officio member of the Council.

*Motion No. 8.*—Moved by Hy. P. Hynson, seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that Motion No. 4 be laid on the table.

*Motion No. 9.*—Moved by Hy. P. Hynson, seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that Prof. C. Lewis Diehl be elected Emeritus Reporter on the Progress of Pharmacy and ex-officio member of the Council.

*Motion No. 10.*—Moved by Hy. P. Hynson, seconded by Charles Caspari, Jr., that the balance of the honorarium voted Professor Diehl, specifically, for work done on the National Formulary, Fourth Edition, be paid at once, under the conditions of the offer submitted by Hy. P. Hynson.

*Motion No. 11.*—Moved by G. M. Beringer, seconded by Dr. F. E. Stewart, that the action of the Council at San Francisco in deciding "that an honorarium be voted to Prof. C. Lewis Diehl for his work on the National Formulary, Fourth Edition, to be paid in two instalments, one instalment of \$500 at once, and the other during the year 1916," be reconsidered in so far as relates to the second instalment of \$500, and that this latter be paid as soon as, in the judgment of the Treasurer and the Committee on Finance, the condition of the Treasury and the interests of the Association will permit.

The points of order received on above motions were referred to Chairman Eberle, who decides as follows:

My rulings are:

(1) *Ruling on Motion No. 4.*—It becomes my conscientious duty, as I see it, to sustain the protest, and I therefore rule that the office of Emeritus Reporter cannot be created in the manner attempted.

Article V of our Constitution provides an easy method for its amendment. This readily opens the way for legally creating the office of Emeritus Reporter and providing proper recognition for the splendid services of our worthy former Reporter.

(2) *Ruling on Motion No. 8.*—Out of order, because Motion No. 4 is not in order.

(3) *Ruling on Motion No. 9.*—Out of order. Subject covered by ruling on Motion No. 4.

(4) *Ruling on Motion No. 10.*—Out of order, because motion was offered subject to no objection to reconsideration of action of Council at San Francisco on August 13, 1915, and objection has been made.

(5) *Ruling on Motion No. 11.*—In order. Objections to reconsideration not well founded. It is true that the sessions of organized bodies are governed by parliamentary law and ordinarily a motion to reconsider must be made by one who voted in favor of the original motion; but it must be recognized that the conduct of the business of the Association by mail is the equivalent of its conduct by annual meetings; that it is not possible for all the members of the Council to be present at the annual meetings, and that members of the Council should not be deprived of their rights of membership if not present at the annual meetings.

Chapter VIII, Article 11, is quite specific on this point. I quote as follows:

"In all questions arising before the Council or its committees, and which can be disposed of by a positive or negative vote, the chairman of the Council, or the chairman of the committee, *may take the vote of their respective bodies in writing, and the same shall have the same force and effect as if the members had been personally present*, a majority of the votes cast being considered sufficient to decide a question."

This is tantamount to saying that a vote by mail is equivalent to a vote in annual meeting.

Mr. Beringer was not present at San Francisco when the original motion was voted upon, on August 13, 1915, and could not vote for or against it. His motion does not change the intent of the San Francisco action except the greater flexibility to the time of paying the second instalment. The ruling might be different had the action of the Council at San Francisco been approved by the Association in general session, but the Council action was in the year 1915-16, and not 1914-15, and hence will come up for approval in the 1915-16 annual meeting. Therefore its consideration is still in the hands of the Council.

It may be urged that if Motion No. 10 is out of order Motion No. 11 is out of order,

also. But the conditions are different. Motion No. 11, with its request for reconsideration, was not offered "subject to objection," as was motion No. 10, neither was it offered as a substitute motion; it was offered as an alternative motion,—that is, as one of two motions.

I rule that Motion No. 11 is entirely in order.

The following communication was received from Frank H. Freericks, E. H. Thiesing, and Theo. D. Wetterstroem, Special Committee on Insurance of Property of A. Ph. A. Stored in the Building of Lloyd Brothers, at Cincinnati:

"The special committee charged with the duty to determine the need for insurance on the property of the Association as located in the building of Lloyd Brothers at Cincinnati begs leave to report as follows:

"*First.*—We find the Association property to be splendidly taken care of in the building of Lloyd Brothers, located at the southeast corner of Elizabeth and Mound Streets.

"*Second.*—The building in question is of solid brick and mill construction. It is a most substantial building. It is used as a warehouse or stock-house, in which there are stored principally vegetable drugs and Lloyd Library publications and documents. In the lower story rear of the buildings are located the grinding mills, which are operated by electricity, and only at that place is fire for heating purposes used. Said part of the building is separated from the rest by a solid brick wall. The surroundings or exposures to the building are good, with a possible exception of one small frame exposure.

"*Third.*—We were given every opportunity to go through the entire building and to have a complete understanding through the courtesy of Professor Lloyd, and find that the firm of Lloyd Brothers do not carry any insurance on their property contained in the building. The building cannot in any manner be considered as fire-proof. The committee is unanimously of the opinion that if they had property of their own of value contained in the building, that then they would carry insurance on it.

"*Fourth.*—The insurance agency of Joseph T. Dillhoff has been kind enough to have the building re-rated, and we learn that the rate on contents is 1.43, or \$14.30 per thousand, indicating of itself that the risk is regarded to be of more than ordinary hazard. If insurance is desired, the property being

of a special nature, it will be required to agree upon its value, so that in case of loss there can be no dispute as regards values."

General Secretary Day states that:

"The appropriation for the Year Book in the Budget of 1915 was \$2500.00. The expense has been \$3000.95. Accordingly, the Finance Committee has approved an additional appropriation of \$500.95 to cover the expense of the Year Book, Volume 2, and Prof. J. A. Koch moves, and I second, the granting of such an appropriation."

The number of reading pages in the Year Book of 1913, recently issued, was about eight percent more than the previous book, and the number of books printed was eleven percent more.

This motion will be regarded as *Motion No. 12 (Appropriation of \$500.95 to Cover Expenses of 1913 Year Book)*.

At the San Francisco meeting of the Council held August 13, 1915, Mr. C. Mahlon Kline was elected Local Secretary for 1916. Mr. Kline writes in relation thereto as follows:

"Council of the American Pharmaceutical Association.

"Gentlemen:

"I have been officially advised of my election as Local Secretary of the American Pharmaceutical Association for 1916, and I have delayed answering the notification, hoping that I could see my way clear to accept service, but the constantly-increasing pressure of my business interests bids me pause, and compels me to decline the service.

"It is hardly necessary for me to add that I deeply appreciate the honor conferred, and stand ready at all times to do what I can for the American Pharmaceutical Association. Your organization represents the highest ideals in American pharmacy, and my best wish is that its membership may largely increase and its influence for good become widespread.

"Very truly yours,

"C. MAHLON KLINE.

"PHILADELPHIA, October 26, 1915."

If there is no objection, Mr. Kline's declination will be accepted.

*Motion No. 13 (Election of Local Secretary for 1916)*. Moved by C. A. Mayo, seconded by G. M. Beringer, that Charles Holzhauer, of Newark, N. J., be elected Local Secretary for 1916.

*Motion No. 14 (Authorizing Purchase of*

*Bond from Ebert Prize Fund*). Moved by J. A. Koch, seconded by W. B. Day, that the Treasurer of the Association be authorized to purchase a \$1000 St. Louis, 4 percent, 1928 registered bond at 98½, as an investment, from the Ebert Prize Fund. (Motion withdrawn.)

*Motion No. 15 (Election of Members)*. You are requested to vote on the following applications for membership:

- No. 12. Mrs. Mary Leinbaugh Smith, Waverly, Kan., rec. by William B. Day and C. M. Snow.
- No. 13. Harry Kolsch, 202 Harrison St., Leadville, Col., rec. by George C. Whitmore and Robert H. McKenzie.
- No. 14. J. Laurence Randles, Granite Falls, Wash., rec. by C. W. Johnson and Edith Hindman.
- No. 15. Leon Ralph Hess, 127 University St., West Lafayette, Ind., rec. by C. B. Jordan and Charles O. Lee.
- No. 16. Glenwood Borders, 127 University St., West Lafayette, Ind., rec. by C. B. Jordan and W. F. Gidley.
- No. 17. Arthur James Winters, 522 Pleasant St., Oak Park, Ill., rec. by William Gray and William B. Day.
- No. 18. David Thomas Briles, 124 Hay St., Fayetteville, N. C., rec. by W. W. Horne and E. V. Howell.
- No. 19. Rudolph Breves, 684 Sumner Ave., Newark, N. J., rec. by Hugo Kantrowitz and Robert S. Lehman.
- No. 20. Paul Clarence Laurence, 195 Exchange St., Rochester, N. Y., rec. by Eugene L. Maines and Hilton F. Snider.
- No. 21. Frontis Lentz, Mooresville, N. C., rec. by E. F. Kelly and H. M. Whelpley.

J. W. ENGLAND,  
Secretary of the Council.  
415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

#### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 8.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., October 30, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

The following resolution has been received from the Local Branch of the American Pharmaceutical Association of Washington, D. C.:

"WHEREAS, The Council of the American Pharmaceutical Association has very profitably and wisely largely grown in the number of its members and has become a desirable

and effective working body at the annual meetings; and

"WHEREAS, A Council of this size cannot be maintained and its business properly transacted by mail; therefore be it

"Resolved, That it is the sense of the Local Branch of the American Pharmaceutical Association of Washington, D. C., that the Council should be authorized to elect three members, who, together with the Chairman and the Secretary of the Council, shall constitute an executive committee, this committee to be empowered to carry on the business of the Association as mapped out, at its annual meeting during the intervals of the said annual meetings."

*Motion No. 16 (Executive Committee of the Council)*. Moved by S. L. Hilton, seconded by M. I. Wilbert, that the above resolution of the Washington Branch be approved by the Council and that the By-Laws of the Council be amended at the next annual meeting to provide for an Executive Committee of the Council with power to carry on the business of the Association along the lines indicated by the Washington Branch.

Discussion is invited. A vote on the motion will be taken in two weeks.

The following resolution has been received from the Local Branch of the American Pharmaceutical Association of Washington, D. C.:

"That the Council be requested to invite Messrs. H. B. Mason, of Detroit; Charles R. Sherman, of Omaha; Charles Caspari, Jr., of Baltimore; F. C. Henry, of Washington, D. C., and L. C. Blakeslee, of St. Louis, to become a commission to look into the financial affairs of the American Pharmaceutical Association, its manner of accounting, its revenues and its expenditures, and report at the next meeting, with such recommendations as this commission may conclude will be advantageous to the welfare and usefulness of the American Pharmaceutical Association."

*Motion No. 17 (Commission on the Financial Affairs of the American Pharmaceutical Association)*. Moved by S. L. Hilton, seconded by M. I. Wilbert, that the above resolution of the Washington Branch be approved by the Council.

Discussion is invited. A vote on the motion will be taken in two weeks.

With reference to the resolutions from the N. A. R. D., submitted in Council Letter No. 4 (p. 8), it should be stated that Mr. Freericks's

motion of Council Letter No. 5 (p. 10) has not been seconded.

Charles E. Caspari, however, makes a motion that the "N. A. R. D. Resolutions" be referred to the Committee on National Formulary, as the subject matter is of a technical or scientific character and properly belongs within the scope of work of the Committee on National Formulary. Mr. Caspari's motion is seconded by Dr. F. E. Stewart. It will be regarded as *Motion No. 18 (Referring N. A. R. D. Resolutions to Committee on National Formulary)*.

J. W. ENGLAND,

Secretary of Council.

415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.

#### A. PH. A. COUNCIL LETTER NO. 9.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., November 16, 1915.

To the Members of the Council:

Gentlemen:

*Motions No. 11 (On Payment of Second Instalment of Honorarium to Prof. C. Lewis Diehl), No. 12 (Appropriation of \$500.95 to Cover Expenses of 1913 Year Book), No. 13 (Election of Charles Holzhauser, Newark, N. J., as Local Secretary for 1916), and No. 15 (Election of Members; Applications Nos. 12 to 21 inclusive)*, have each received a majority of affirmative votes.

Regarding *Motion No. 18 (Referring N. A. R. D. Resolution in Council Letter No. 14 to Committee on National Formulary)*, Frank H. Freericks has raised the question that it is out of order, and the question has been referred to Chairman Eberle for his decision.

*In re* subject of insuring property of A. Ph. A. stored in the Lloyd Library at Cincinnati, Frederick J. Wulling writes:

"Concerning the report of the special committee on insurance of property of A. Ph. A. stored in the Lloyd Library in Cincinnati, let me say that I am an advocate of insurance protection. The committee states that the building is not fire-proof. I feel that we should insure the property. Possibly Messrs. Lloyd Brothers would prefer to have us do so."

*Motion No. 19 (Executive Committee of the Council)*. Moved by George M. Beringer, seconded by C. H. LaWall, that the proposed action as outlined in the first resolution of the Washington Branch (C. L. No. 8) and in Motion No. 16 be published in the Journal, and that the opinion of the members of the Association thereon be invited, and that final

action be taken at the forthcoming meeting at Atlantic City.

*Motion No. 20 (On the Financial Affairs of the Association)*. Moved by George M. Beringer, seconded by C. H. LaWall, that we request our Finance Committee, with a special committee of five members to be appointed by the Chairman of the Council, to make a special study of the available assets and current expenses of the Association and see what suggestions they can make regarding the improving of the financial status of the Association.

Discussion on the two substitute motions above offered is requested. A vote will be called in two weeks.

General Secretary Day writes:

"As one of the party who made the memorable trip to San Francisco, I know that you enjoyed the hospitable treatment accorded to our party by the Denver pharmacists and that you remember with much pleasure the Saturday that we spent in Denver. I happen to know that the Denver pharmacists raised by individual contribution a very considerable sum of money which was expended for the entertainment of the visiting members at that time. It occurs to me that it would be a graceful act on our part to show our appreciation of this hospitable treatment in some manner which would be pleasing to our Denver friends and would be a help to them in the good work which they, through the Denver Branch, are doing for pharmacy and for the American Pharmaceutical Association.

"As you know, one of the activities of the Denver Branch is the collection of a pharmaceutical library, to which a considerable number of accessions by gift have already been received. I have learned from Mr. Nitardy that the Denver Branch possesses nearly a complete set of our Proceedings. The volumes lacking from the set owned by the Denver Branch are the following: 1911, 1872, 1870, and all prior to 1869. I would like to offer a motion in the Council that the Denver Branch be presented with the volumes necessary to complete their set, viz., those for the years 1911, 1872, 1870, 1868, and all prior as far as our stock permits, and that these volumes be presented as a gift from the Association in appreciation of the good work which the Denver Branch is doing for the Association and for pharmacy."

*Motion No. 21 (Presentation of Proceed-*

ings to Denver Branch). Moved by W. B. Day, seconded by J. W. England, that the volumes of the Proceedings for 1911, 1872, 1870, 1868, and all prior as far as stock permits, be presented as a gift from the Association to the Denver Branch in appreciation of the good work which the Denver Branch is doing for the Association and for pharmacy.

*Motion No. 22 (Additional Appropriation of \$100 for Printing, Postage, and Stationery).* Moved by J. A. Koch, seconded by W. B. Day, that an additional appropriation of \$100 be made for the budget item of printing, postage, and stationery; the appropriation is approved by the Committee on Finance.

The item is now exhausted and it is estimated that \$100 will be sufficient for the charges against this account until the close of the fiscal year.

Dr. A. R. L. Dohme writes that at the San Francisco meeting a resolution was presented to the Scientific Section by himself and reported favorably to that Section by a committee appointed by its Chairman and adopted, but it has not yet been presented to the Council for approval.

The resolution is as follows:

"WHEREAS, It is generally admitted that commercial progress in Germany in the past two decades has been the result of a hearty and complete coöperation between the scientific and industrial organizations of that country; and

"WHEREAS, All financiers and business men will concede that the application of the science of the university to the practice of the factory will produce similar results in this country; and

"WHEREAS, It is our opinion that the next great stride in our commercial growth will be in the direction of applying our scientific training represented by the university to practical use in our industries; and

"WHEREAS, The factory and the university have stood aloof from one another to the disadvantage of both these many years; therefore be it

"Resolved, by the Scientific Section of the American Pharmaceutical Association, That the United States Chamber of Commerce be requested to call a special meeting of representatives of the leading institutions of learn-

ing and of leading large manufacturing interests of the country, together with representatives of the leading banking interests of the country, for the purpose of considering ways and means of generating and fostering a spirit of closer coöperation between them than has heretofore existed or now exists."

A motion to approve the above resolution adopted by the Scientific Section is in order.

Editor E. G. Eberle writes that: "On motion of J. D. Turner, seconded by C. E. Caspari, resolutions were adopted in the Scientific Section of the American Pharmaceutical Association as follows:

"Resolved, That in order to promote the organic chemical industry of this country, and especially the manufacture of organic synthetic products used in the practice of medicine, a duty should be imposed on all such products, as well as the raw materials entering into composition of such, to the extent of 30 percent *ad valorem* and 7 cents per pound specific.

"It is further resolved that the Congress of the United States be petitioned to enact legislation to this effect."

A motion to approve the above resolution adopted by the Scientific Section is in order.

The following report is submitted:

To the Members of the Council:

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Committee on Unofficial Standards, I desire to report to the Council that the following additional monographs have been adopted and copy for these has been forwarded to the Editor for publication in the Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association, in accordance with the instruction of the Council:

Caramel  
Chionanthus  
Corydalis  
Matico  
Oleum Betulæ Empyreumaticum Rectificatum  
Vanilla

Yours very truly,

GEORGE M. BERINGER,

Chairman.

CAMDEN, N. J., November 6, 1915.

J. W. ENGLAND,

Secretary of Council.

415 N. 33rd St., Philadelphia, Pa.